UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,665	09/04/2003	Walter K. Baur	CHA920030018US1	5364
45095 HOFFMAN W.	7590 12/26/200 ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER		
75 STATE ST 14 FL		ALMATRAHI, FARIS S		
ALBANY, NY	12207	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3627		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOCommunications@hoffmanwarnick.com

		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/654,6	665	BAUR ET AL.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		FARIS A	LMATRAHI	3627		
The l Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commu V	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTEI WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period fc - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD IN IS LONGER, FROM THE INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE INTERIOR IS LONGER IN IT IN IT IN IT IS LONGER IN IT	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE COMM	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	onsive to communication(s) fil ction is FINAL . this application is in conditior I in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is n for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pi		e merits is	
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is/a (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1-19 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restripers	are withdrawn from o				
	ecification is objected to by the	ne Evaminer				
10)∭ The dr Applica Replac	awing(s) filed on is/are ant may not request that any objected to by the ement drawing sheet(s) includin th or declaration is objected to	e: a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 3	85 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notice of Dra	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //ail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- 1. This action is in reply to applicant amendment filed September 24, 2008.
- 2. Claims 1, 8 and 15 are amended.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are pending in this application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-10, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gryglewicz (US Pat No. 6,993,502) in view of Wilmes et al. (US Patent No. 7,313,538 B2).
- 6. Regarding claims 1-2, 8-9, and 15, Gryglewicz discloses a system and method for processing tax calculation requests, comprising:
 - Receiving a tax calculation request in an industry standard format at a tax engine (Figures 7-9, Column 15 lines 1-55).
 - Identifying and resolving customer-specific extensions in the request (Figures 7-9, Column 15 lines 1-55, Column 2 lines 46-58).

Art Unit: 3627

Selecting one of a plurality of tax calculators to handle the request (Column 9 lines 7-42, Column 26 line 48 – Column 27 line 17).

- Translating the request from the industry standard format to a calculatorspecific format for the selected tax calculator (Column 26 line 48 – Column 27 line 17, Column 37 lines 25-36).
- Using the selected tax calculator to process the request in the calculatorspecific format (Figures 7-9, Column 26 line 48 – Column 27 line 17).
- 7. Gryglewicz fails to explicitly disclose a plurality of tax calculators to handle the request each calculator configured to calculate a different tax and a plurality of updatable tax tables stored in a single location with the plurality of tax calculators.
- 8. However, Wilmes discloses a tax calculation system and method comprising a plurality of tax calculators to handle the request each calculator configured to calculate a different tax (Paragraph [0097]); and a plurality of updatable tax tables stored in a single location with the plurality of tax calculators (Column 6 lines 40-60, Claim 1).
- 9. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Wilmes in the device of Gryglewicz reference to include a tax calculation system and method comprising selecting one of a plurality of tax calculators to handle the request each calculator configured to calculate a different tax and a plurality of updatable tax tables stored in a single location with the plurality of tax calculators, for the advantage of allowing multiple taxing authorities to coexist on a single server.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,665

Art Unit: 3627

10. Regarding claims 3, 10, and 17, Gryglewicz discloses a system and method for processing tax calculation requests, wherein the tax calculator is selected based on a rule defined in the database (Column 18 lines 1-15, Column 21 lines 10-43).

Page 4

- 11. Regarding claims 5, 12, and 18, Gryglewicz discloses a tax calculation system and method wherein at least one of the tax calculators is for a specific geographic region (Figure 11, Column 26 lines 32-47).
- 12. Regarding claims 6 and 13, Gryglewicz discloses a tax calculation system and method comprising an update system for updating customer-specific extensions and rules (Column 8 lines 19-53, Column 20 lines 14-30).
- 13. Regarding claims 7, 14, and 16, Gryglewicz discloses a tax calculation system and method wherein the tax engine resides on a computer network (Column 8 lines 8-18).
- 14. **Claims 4, 11, and 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gryglewicz (US Pat No. 6,993,502) in view of Wilmes et al. (US Patent No. 7,313,538 B2) further in view of Sullivan (US Publication No. 2003/0055754 A1).
- 15. Regarding Claims 4, 11, and 19, Gryglewicz fails to explicitly disclose a tax calculation system and method wherein the industry standard format comprises 3Y4 XML.
- 16. However, Sullivan discloses a tax calculation system and method wherein the input format comprises XML (Paragraph [0097]).

Art Unit: 3627

17. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Sullivan in the device of Gryglewicz reference to include a tax calculation system and method wherein the industry standard format comprises 3Y4 XML, for the advantage of utilizing and easily converting between standardized formats.

Response to Arguments

- 18. Applicant's arguments filed on September 24, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive
- 19. Regarding Applicants arguments that there is no disclosure in the Gryglewicz and Wilmes reference of a plurality of tax tables stored in a single location with the plurality of tax calculators. Examiner takes into account broadest interpretation of the recited limitation in the instant application. Wilmes discloses a plurality of updatable tax tables stored in a single location with the plurality of tax calculators in Column 6 lines 40-60. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Wilmes in the device of Gryglewicz reference to include a plurality of updatable tax tables stored in a single location with the plurality of tax calculators.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3627

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faris Almatrahi whose telephone number is (571)270-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Faris Almatrahi Examiner Art Unit 3627

FA

/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627